Typical Meteorological Year for solar energy systems in Uruguay based on solar satellite estimates

R. Alonso Suárez, P. Modernell, G. Abal

Laboratorio de Energía Solar (http://les.edu.uy), Universidad de la República

November 9th, 2015

rodrigoa@unorte.edu.uy





Introduction

- Typical Meteorological Year (TMY)
- Uruguay's context

2 TMY development

- Satellite-based solar irradiance
- TMY methodology
- Derived solar variables

3 Results

- TMY time-series and evaluation
- Conclusions



Typical Meteorological Year (1/2)

Objective: to develop a typical annual time-series (TMY) for solar energy systems' simulation in Uruguay.

- Include variables needed to simulate solar energy systems.
- Preserve long-term average values and typical variability.
- Hourly resolution. Use local measurements as far as possible.

Meteorological Variables included:

- Solar irradiance: horizontal and tilted global and direct normal.
- Other: air temperature, humidity, pressure and wind.

What is it needed? Long-term data. Optimal situation 30 years data Sufficient situation 15 years data ← This TMY for 2 sites! Critical situation 10 years data ← Other Uruguay's sites.

URUGUAY'S AVAILABLE DATA

A 15 years dataset? How to in Uruguay?

Long-Term		Solution: satelite	
solar irradiance	Do not exist!	based solar	
measurements		irradiance.	
Other	Irregular acquisition.	Solution:	
meteorological	Several gaps.	Integrate data	
ground	Different data sources.	from different	
measurements		sources.	

Integration of two near data-sources:

- Statistical correction to merge the two data series.
- Up to 20% merging in some sites.

Gap filling: Up to 2 consecutive hours.

- Solar data: gap filling based on clear-sky index (image gaps).
- Other data: cubic splines interpolation (less than 1%).
- 5% gap filling in hourly solar satellite data.

Introduction ○○●

URUGUAY'S AVAILABLE DATA

Only three sites in Uruguay have 15 years of suitable hourly records



This work: TMY development for two of these three sites. Montevideo + Salto

Introduction

- Typical Meteorological Year (TMY)
- Uruguay's context

2 TMY development

- Satellite-based solar irradiance
- TMY methodology
- Derived solar variables

3 Results

- TMY time-series and evaluation
- Conclusions



SATELLITE-BASED SOLAR IRRADIANCE

Simple satellite model: an statistic model adjusted with local ground measurements (BD-JPT).

The model conceptually...

Hourly Irradiance = Clear Sky Irradiance - Satellite Clouds Attenuation

- A modification of an original model [1,2].
- It is a simple multiple regression approach.
- Ground measurements are required for training.
- Model's parameters are location-dependent.

[1] - Tarpley J. - Estimating Incident Solar Radiation at the Surface from Geostationary Satellite Data. *Journal of applied meteorology*. 1979; 18: 1172-1181.

[2] - Justus C., Paris M., Tarpley J. - Satellite-measured insolation in the United States, Mexico, and South America. *Remote Sensing of Environment.* 1986; 20: 57-83.

SATELLITE-BASED SOLAR IRRADIANCE

Simple satellite model: an statistic model adjusted with local ground measurements (BD-JPT).

It takes this form:

$$I = I_{sc} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 \cos \theta_z \left(a + b \cos \theta_z + c \cos^2 \theta_z\right) + d \left(F_{Rm}^2 - F_{R0}^2\right)$$

- $\rightarrow I_{sc}$ Solar constant.
- $\rightarrow (r_0/r)^2$ Sun-Earth distance correction.
- $\rightarrow~\cos\theta_z$ Cosine of the zenith angle.
- $\rightarrow F_{Rm}$ Satellite Reflectance Factor (visible channel).
- \rightarrow F_{R0} Satellite clear-sky reflectance factor (modelled).
- Situations distinguished: clear-sky, cloudy and partially cloudy.
- Local solar measurements are used to adjust the coefficients.

SATELLITE-BASED SOLAR IRRADIANCE

Comparison: Solar satellite data vs independent measurements.



Good balance between accuracy and simplicity.

	rRMS	rKSI	rMBD	rMAD
Hourly BDJPT	13.2 %	42.9 %	1.2 %	9.0%
Daily BDJPT	6.1%	18.8%	1.5%	4.7 %
Monthly BDJPT	3.8 %	7.3 %	1.3%	3.0%

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 → のへで

TMY METHODOLOGY



- Persistence filters are applied to selected candidates.
- 12 real data-month are selected and concatenated.
- Smoothing process in each interface.

Weighting variables: selected in a similar way as TMY3.

DERIVED SOLAR VARIABLES: DNI AND GTI

DNI and GTI are calculated from the GHI TMY data-series using an diffuse fraction model adjusted with local ground measurements

- HDKR model is used for tilted plane global irradiance.
- DNI is derived using the GHI and DHI hourly values.

 $\left. \begin{array}{c} {\rm GHI} \\ {\rm diffuse \ fraction \ model} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow f_D \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} {\rm DHI} = f_D {\rm GHI} \\ {\rm BHI} = {\rm GHI} - {\rm DHI} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow {\rm DNI} = \frac{{\rm GHI} - {\rm DHI}}{\cos \theta_z}$

Hourly diffuse fraction model introduces uncertainty.

DNI preliminary evaluation:

- One year data for a single site in Uruguay.
- Hourly rMBE: -3%.
- Hourly rRMS: 26 %



DERIVED SOLAR VARIABLES: DNI AND GTI

DNI and GTI are calculated from the GHI TMY data-series using an diffuse fraction model adjusted with local ground measurements

- HDKR model is used for tilted plane global irradiance.
- DNI is derived using the GHI and DHI hourly values.

 $\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{GHI} \\ \mathsf{diffuse \ fraction \ model} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow f_D \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{DHI} = f_D \mathsf{GHI} \\ \mathsf{BHI} = \mathsf{GHI} - \mathsf{DHI} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \mathsf{DNI} = \frac{\mathsf{GHI} - \mathsf{DHI}}{\cos \theta_z}$

Hourly diffuse fraction model introduces uncertainty.

DNI preliminary evaluation:

- One year data for a single site in Uruguay.
- Hourly rMBE: -3%.
- Hourly rRMS: 26 %



Introduction

- Typical Meteorological Year (TMY)
- Uruguay's context

2 TMY development

- Satellite-based solar irradiance
- TMY methodology
- Derived solar variables



- TMY time-series and evaluation
- Conclusions

TMY TIME-SERIES

TMY data was developed for the two sites: Montevideo and Salto.

GHI and Temperature TMY for Montevideo:



(ロト (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

15 years

DIF (abs)

DIF (%)

AMT

26.0 24.4 22.3 18.7 15.0 12.9 12.1 13.8 15.8 19.2 21.7 23.9 18.8

25.9 24.7

-0.1 0.3 -0.7 -0.2 -0.2 -0.3 0.5 -0.8 1.0 0.5 0.2 -1.0 -0.1

-0.2 1.2 -3.1 -1.2 -1.3 -2.7 3.9 -6.0 6.5 2.8 0.8 -4.4 -0.4

18.5 14.8 12.5 12.5

21.6

EVALUATION OF THE TMY: AVERAGE VALUES

Good agreement was found between the TMY and 15 years average



19.7 21.9 22.9 18.7

16.9

€ • • • • • •

AND TO FINISH...

Conclusions:

- The first two hourly TMYs were constructed for Uruguay.
- Irradiance data is obtained using satellite images.
- The availability of long-term data is a restriction.
- Mean values and variability are preserved.

Actual and future work:

- Construction of TMYs for other sites: is it possible?
- Quantitative variability evaluation.
- Improve DNI estimate: satellite based approach.
- Improvement in the diffuse fraction model for GTI and DNI.
- Weighting criteria?





Thank you very much

Questions?

Eng. Rodrigo Alonso Suárez, Assistant Professor.

r.alonso.suarez@gmail.com

Laboratorio de Energía Solar (http://les.edu.uy)

Universidad de la República, Uruguay.

